

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	/-/<		·/<		(ゾク	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/690,657	03/02/01	THOMPSON		т		
Г			7	EXAMINER		
THOMAS C. THOMPSON		PM82/0802	•	_ DORSEY D		
92-543 KOKOL	E PL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MAKAKILO HI	96707			3635	1	
,		AIR MA	\IL		08/02/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
`. •		657		Thomas C. Thompson				
Office Action Summary		er	Art Unit	,550H				
		L Dorsey	3635					
The MAILING DATE of this communi				Idress				
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 17 October 2	2000 .						
	2b)⊠ This action i							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the a	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-21</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 October 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any obj								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)		· ·						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Processor 		- <u>-</u>	immary (PTO-413) Paper No formal Patent Application (PT					



Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Figures 39-41, claims 10-21 in Paper No.
 is acknowledged.

In response to a phone call with the Pro se applicant and in the attempt by the Examiner to speed along prosecution, an office action on the above claims will be prosecuted. However, the Applicant and Examiner both agree that a species restriction of the claims may be required.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use



Art Unit: 3635

thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the applicantion contains two abstracts (page 33 and page 31). The abstract is further objected to because it does not specifically describe the invention as set forth in the claims. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 1 is in the specification twice.

Appropriate correction is required.



Art Unit: 3635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 10-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 9. Claims 10-21 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

For example, in claim 10 the phrase "can-shaped" is indefinite since cans come in many shapes and sizes. The Examiner suggest the term "cylindrical" if it applies.

For example, in claim 11 the phrase "generally equal to the radius of a concrete coring bit" is indefinite since these bits can be of different sizes, and the bit is not claimed or part of the invention so the invention can not be described relative to something not claimed.

For example, in claim 12 the phrase "can be part of a circle" is indefinite since the invention has must be distinctly claimed the side must be claimed as a circle or not as a circle.



Art Unit: 3635

For example, in claim 18 is the second mount part of the first mount or is the second mount a separate invention, thus needs to be restricted out.

For example, in claim 20 apparatus claims are examined as final product and how they were assembled weighs little in patentability.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. As best understood by the Examiner, claims 10-21 are rejected under 35
- U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Birs.

Birs teaches all the limitations of the above claims including circular can-shaped base web (11) with an open bottom, top web (13), hook-shaped openings (14), can be mounted into a cavity with cement.

Conclusion

12. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.



Art Unit: 3635

Certificate of Mailing

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Washington, D.C. 20231

on ______.
(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Signature: ______

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) _____ - ____ on _____.

(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

- 13. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is deposited with the U. S. Postal Service and mailed to the Office by First Class Mail before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Mailing" which merely asserts that the reply is being mailed on a given date. So mailed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate is shown above.
- 14. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecuting procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention

Art Unit: 3635

disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or

agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon

skillful preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or

agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents

Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication

is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office,

Washington, D.C. 20402.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dennis L Dorsey whose telephone number is 703-306-

9137. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 703-308-0839. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597

for regular communications and 703-3053597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1020.

Carl D. Friedman

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600

July 28, 2001

Page 7